

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,374	12/31/2003	Patrick W. Smith	101.00011	4893
49754 7590 04/25/2007 TASER INTERNATIONAL, INC. 17800 N. 85TH STREET			EXAMINER	
			KITOV, ZEEV V	
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255-9603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	THS	04/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/750,374	SMITH ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Zeev Kitov	2836		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirgoid apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma	<u>arch 2007</u> .	•		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>42 - 71</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>52 - 71</u> is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>42, 43, 46, 48, 50, 51</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>47 and 49</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Carendar drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Day 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/750,374

Art Unit: 2836

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Examiner acknowledges a submission of the amendment and arguments filed on March 29, 2007. Claims A1 – 41 are deleted. New Claims 42 – 71 are added. A new Office Action follows.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 42, 43, 46, 48, 50 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Watkins III et al. (US 6,999,295). Regarding Claim 42, Watkins III et al. disclose following: a method, performed by an apparatus, the apparatus for interfering with locomotion of a target by conducting a current through the target, the method including: providing a first pulse of the current (see Fig. 7), the first pulse having a first amount voltage; It further discloses a second pulse of the current having a second amount of voltage, which is responsive to a result of monitoring (col. 6, lines 4 – 11). The microprocessor continuously performs monitoring of the target electrical parameters (col. 8, lines 30 – 44, col. 17, lines 4 – 11). Monitoring provision of the first

Application/Control Number: 10/750,374

Art Unit: 2836

pulse is inherent in the mode of operation of the system, since as Fig. 5C shows, first stage pulse application results in is a dramatic drop of impedance and subsequent activation of the second pulse cannot be provided unless the impedance drop is assured (col. 6, lines 4 - 11).

Regarding Claim 43, Watkins III et al. disclose determining an amount of delivered charge and whether a charge greater than a threshold amount was output from the apparatus during provision of the first pulse (claim 31). For known value of capacitor and known shape of the pulse a measured voltage presents indication of charge.

Regarding Claim 46, Watkins III et al. disclose providing the first pulse including storing energy in a capacitance (shown in Fig. 1); and monitoring further comprises detecting a decrease in an energy stored in the capacitance (shown in Fig. 6).

Regarding Claim 48, Watkins III et al. disclose providing the second pulse having the second voltage lower than the first voltage (Fig. 4B).

Regarding Claim 50, Watkins III et al. disclose providing the first pulse sufficient to ionize air in the gap in series with the target (see Abstract).

Regarding Claim 51, Watkins III et al. disclose the first voltage being a peak voltage (Fig. 6).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2836

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Regarding Claims 44 and 45, Watkins III et al. disclose the microprocessor continuously performing monitoring of the target electrical parameters (col. 8, lines 30 – 44, col. 17, lines 4 – 11). As Fig. 5C shows, first stage pulse application results in is a dramatic drop of impedance (col. 6, lines 4 – 11), i.e. it implicitly discloses existence of the threshold. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Watkins III et al. to provide the threshold to distinguish between high and low values of impedance, because this is the only known way to establish transition between the high and the low values of impedance. Accordingly, substantial reduction of the impedance shown in Fig. 5C provides an indication that the first pulse achieved its goal of accomplishing ionization of air in the gap.

# Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 52 – 71 are allowed. Reasons for that are as follows: an in dependent Claim 52 discloses, inter alia, the second pulse generation being conditioned on results of testing of the path existence conducted at the time of the first pulse. Such limitations in combination with other limitations of the claim have not been found in the collected prior art of the record.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,374

Art Unit: 2836

2. Another independent Claim 56 discloses, inter alia, some elements of two stages being overlapping. Such limitations in combination with other limitations of the claim have not been found in the collected prior art of the record.

3. Claims 47 and 49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The reasons for that are that Claim 47 discloses, inter alia, the second pulse having a voltage sufficient for ionization of the air gap and Claim 49 discloses, inter alia, the second pulse carrying the voltage higher than the first pulse. Both limitations combined with other limitations of the claims have not been found in the collected prior art of the record.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zeev Kitov whose current telephone number is (571) 272 - 2052. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 – 4:30. If attempts to reach examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571) 272 – 2800, Ext. 36. The fax phone number for organization where this application or proceedings is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for all communications.

Z.K. 4/10/2007

> BIGAN SIRCUS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CHACK 2000

Page 5